

REMARKS

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the claimed invention. In particular, the "lateral clearance" (claim 4, line 4) must be shown or the feature cancelled from the claim.

Applicant submits herewith replacement sheets for drawing sheets 1, 3 and 5 in which the "lateral clearance" is shown. In Fig. 2, on sheet 1, clearance 53 between the bolt shank and the edge 51 of the slot 50 is now shown. In Fig. 7, on sheet 3 and Fig. 8, on sheet 4, the similar lateral clearance 79 between the bolt shank and one edge 76 of the slot 74 is also now shown. No new matter has been added. Applicant also wishes to note that the phrase "lateral clearance" appears in line 31 on page 3 of the specification, as well as in original claim 4.

Claims 1-12 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In particular, in lines 5-6 of claim 1, and in lines 9-10 of claim 9, the adjustment is recited opposite to what is actually indicated in the specification and drawings. In particular, the Examiner notes that it is the idler assembly which adjusts relative to the bracket or conveyor and not the other way around.

Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to correct this indefiniteness.

The application and claims 1-12, as amended, are now believed to be in condition for allowance and further favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP



Joseph J. Jochman  
Reg. No. 25,058

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP  
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100  
Milwaukee, WI 53202  
(414) 271-7590  
Attorney Docket No.: 507-00007

Appln. No. 10/668,572  
Amdt dated: November 4, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of September 28, 2004

**Amendment to the Drawings:**

Please replace drawing sheets 1, 3 and 4 with the Replacement Sheets enclosed herewith.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets (3)